

Education and Philosophy: A Philosophical-Educational Analysis

Panchugopal Dhara¹

Received: 20 September 2026 / Accepted: 27 September 2026 / Published online: 30 September 2026
Copyright © 2026 Author(s). Published by Siri Research Foundation. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

Abstract

Education and philosophy are closely interconnected, as philosophy provides the foundational perspectives that shape the aims, curriculum, teaching methods, values, and social purposes of education. This study examines the relationship between education and philosophy from philosophical and educational perspectives, focusing on Idealism, Realism, Pragmatism, Existentialism, and Critical Educational Philosophy. The study is qualitative, theoretical, and analytical, based on secondary sources. It particularly draws on the educational ideas of John Dewey and Paulo Freire and contemporary perspectives on democratic education. The study argues that education is not merely the transmission of knowledge or preparation for employment but a transformative process that develops critical thinking, moral judgment, intellectual freedom, social responsibility, and democratic consciousness. The relevance of these philosophical perspectives is also reflected in the holistic, multidisciplinary, and value-oriented vision of NEP 2020 in India.

Keywords: Education, Philosophy, Educational Philosophy, Values, Critical Thinking, Democratic Education, Pragmatism, Humanistic Education.

Introduction

Education is a primary driving force behind the development of human civilization. It plays an immense role in unfolding an individual's latent potential and shaping them into a social, moral, intellectual, and creative being. However, questions regarding the nature and purpose of education, the definition of an educated person, and the kind of society that should be built through education cannot be answered solely within the technical framework of educational science. These questions are intertwined with fundamental philosophical inquiries concerning human nature, knowledge, truth, values, ethics, and society. For this reason, the philosophy of education serves as a fundamental basis for the study of education. Philosophy raises three primary types of questions regarding education: Ontological question: What is the nature of human

1 Guest Lecturer in Education, Bibhuti Bhushan Govt. P.T.T.I. College, Bangaon, West Bengal, India

✉ Panchugopal Dhara, E-mail: panchugopaldhara11@gmail.com

beings and reality? Epistemological question: What is knowledge, and how is it acquired? Axiological question: Which values are significant in education? These three questions profoundly influence the purpose of education, the curriculum, and pedagogy. John Dewey's "Democracy and Education" established the relationship between education and democracy as a central issue in the philosophy of education. Subsequent research has also highlighted the participatory character of Dewey's educational philosophy. On the other hand, Paulo Freire linked education to dialogue, critical consciousness, and emancipation, thereby viewing education as a social and political process. In the context of contemporary education marked by the spread of technology, artificial intelligence, misinformation, social inequality, cultural diversity, and a changing employment landscape, the relationship between education and philosophy has acquired renewed significance.

Review of literatures

Dewey, J. (1916). *"Democracy and Education"*: In his book, he analyzes the interrelationship between education, experience, and democracy. He views education as a continuous process of learning through experience and social development. According to him, education plays a vital role in fostering critical thinking, cooperation, problem-solving skills, and democratic values in students.

R.S. Peters (1966). *"Ethics and Education"* In his book analyzes the relationship between education and morality, knowledge, and rationality. He demonstrates that education is not merely the acquisition of information or skills; rather, it is deeply linked to the development of an individual's moral judgment, rational thinking, and values. This book makes a significant philosophical contribution to understanding the aims and ethical foundations of education.

Freire, P. (1970). *"Pedagogy of the Oppressed"*: In his book, he interprets education as a means of liberation, conscientization, and social change. Criticizing the conventional "banking model of education," he emphasizes dialogue-based and learner-centered education. According to him, education should foster the development of the learner's critical consciousness, independent thinking, and capacity to transform social reality.

R. S. Peters (1970), *"Education and the Educated Man"*: In the essay, Peters philosophically analyzes the concepts of 'education' and the 'educated person.' He highlights the importance of rationality, critical understanding, and intellectual development in an educated individual. According to him, true education deepens and systematizes a person's knowledge, shaping them into a rational and discerning individual.

Kneller, G. F. (1971). *"Introduction to the Philosophy of Education"*: In this book analyzes the interrelationship between philosophy and education. He discusses the aims of education, knowledge, values, the roles of students and teachers, and the educational implications of various philosophical doctrines. His work makes a significant contribution to understanding the philosophical foundations of education and the role of philosophy in determining educational aims.

White, J. (1982). *"The Aims of Education Restated"*: In his book, he provides a philosophical analysis of the purposes and ultimate goals of education. He emphasizes the intrinsic and social aims of education, the well-being of the learner, and the concept of an "educated person." According to him, the aim of education is not merely the acquisition of knowledge or skills; rather, it involves the intellectual, moral, and social

development of the learner and the cultivation of the capacity to participate actively in society. This book serves as a significant foundation for understanding the role of philosophy in determining the aims of education.

Alexander, H. (2007). *"What Is Common About Common Schooling? Rational Autonomy and Moral Agency in Liberal Democratic Education"*: Alexander analyzes the relationship between rational autonomy and moral agency within the context of liberal democratic education. He argues that the goal of education is not merely to foster independent and reasoned thinking in students; rather, it is equally important to cultivate moral judgment, a sense of self-identity, and tolerance for the views of others through exposure to diverse philosophies and traditions. His concept of a "pedagogy of difference" highlights the significance of democratic and inclusive education in a diverse society.

Apple, M. W. (2011). *"Democratic Education in Neoliberal and Neoconservative Times"*: he analyzes the significance of democratic education and critical pedagogy within the context of neoliberal and neoconservative educational policies. He demonstrates that for democratic education to succeed, it must not be confined to theoretical discourse but rather integrated into schools, classrooms, educational policies, and the daily experiences of students and teachers. His research provides a crucial theoretical foundation for understanding the interrelationship between education, democracy, and social change.

Noddings, N. (2013), *"Education and Democracy in the 21st Century"*: In her book analyzes the interrelationship between education and democracy. She views education as a process that prepares students for democratic values, a sense of responsibility, independent thinking, and social participation. Her discussion provides a significant philosophical foundation regarding the purpose of education and the development of citizenship.

Gutek, G. L. (2014). *"Philosophical, Ideological, and Theoretical Perspectives on Education"*: In his book analyzes the various philosophical, ideological, and theoretical foundations of education. He explains the aims of education, the curriculum, teaching methods, and the roles of teachers and students in light of different philosophical doctrines. His work makes a significant contribution to understanding the theoretical underpinnings of the education system and the relationship between philosophy and education.

Biesta, G. (2015). *"What Is Education For? On Good Education, Teacher Judgement, and Educational Professionalism"*: He analyzes the purpose of education through three dimensions: qualification, socialization, and subjectification. He demonstrates that good education is not limited merely to the acquisition of knowledge and skills; rather, the social development of the student, the cultivation of an independent self, and the shaping of a responsible citizen are also crucial objectives of education. His research also places special emphasis on the importance of a teacher's professional judgment.

Van der Ploeg, P. (2016). *"Dewey versus 'Dewey' on Democracy and Education"*: van der Ploeg re-examines Dewey's ideas on education and democracy, demonstrating that the two are deeply interconnected. Through an analysis of Democracy and Education and Dewey's later works, he shows that self-determination, self-development, and participation in the common good are crucial in both contexts. His research further indicates that education for democratic citizenship is not limited to

moral or social education alone but also bears a close relationship to academic education.

Visnovsky, E., & Zolcer, S. (2016). *"Dewey's Participatory Educational Democracy"*: Visnovsky and Zolcer analyze Dewey's concept of participatory educational democracy. They demonstrate that democracy in education is not merely taught as a subject; rather, a democratic spirit is cultivated through actual experiences of participation, dialogue, cooperation, and collaborative decision-making. Their discussion highlights the crucial role of education in fostering students' active participation and a sense of social responsibility.

Biesta, G. (2017). *"The Rediscovery of Teaching"*: in his book re-examines the role of the teacher and the significance of teaching. Rather than viewing education merely as the student's own learning process, he emphasizes the teacher's purposeful guidance, judgment, and pedagogical responsibility. According to him, effective teaching plays a crucial role in the development of the student's knowledge, freedom, and sense of responsibility.

Sant, E. (2019). *"Democratic Education: A Theoretical Review (2006–2017)"*: He conducts a theoretical review of research on democratic education spanning the period from 2006 to 2017. By analyzing various concepts and perspectives regarding democratic education, he demonstrates the significant role education plays in fostering democratic values, participation, critical thinking, and citizenship. His review makes a significant contribution to understanding the multidimensional theoretical foundations of democratic education.

Methodology

This research is a qualitative, theoretical, and analytical study. The study did not utilize primary survey or experimental data; instead, it conducted a systematic conceptual analysis of secondary literature. **Sources of information:** Authoritative texts on the philosophy of education, peer-reviewed journal articles, theoretical reviews, educational theory, government policy documents, scholarly literature on democratic education and critical pedagogy.

Discussion & Results

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of the present study is based on five major philosophical traditions.

Idealism: Core concepts: Self-realization, truth, morality, values. Educational implications: Character building, moral education, intellectual development.

Realism: Core concepts: Reality, empirical knowledge, observation. Educational implications: Science education, observation, evidence-based learning.

Pragmatism: Core concepts: Experience, action, inquiry, problem-solving. Educational implications: Learning by doing, experiential learning, project method.

Existentialism: Core concepts: Freedom, choice, responsibility, individuality. Educational implications: Learner autonomy, self-expression, individual differences.

Critical Pedagogy: Core concepts: Dialogue, power, inequality, critical consciousness, transformation. Educational implications: Participatory classroom, critical reflection, social justice.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of the research is as follows: Philosophy→ Conceptions of human beings and knowledge→ Aims of education→ Selection of values→

Curriculum→ Teaching methods → Teacher-student relationship→ Critical Thinking + Ethical Development→ Democratic Citizenship→ Holistic Human Development. This framework indicates that philosophy serves as the foundational basis for education, and its influence extends to virtually every level of education.

The Purpose of Education

Defining the purpose of education is fundamentally a philosophical activity. If education prioritizes human moral development, then moral education becomes significant. If rationality is emphasized, then critical thinking takes center stage. If democracy is valued, then participation, dialogue, tolerance, and citizenship education become important. According to Biesta's analysis, the purpose of education spans qualification, socialization, and subjectification. Therefore, limiting the purpose of education solely to examination success or employability narrows the broader philosophical meaning of education.

Philosophy and Curriculum

A curriculum is not merely a list of subjects; it reflects a society's conception of knowledge and values. Idealism emphasizes literature, philosophy, and ethics. Realism prioritizes science and empirical knowledge. Pragmatism leads to a curriculum focused on experience and problem-solving. Existentialism fosters opportunities for individual choice and self-expression. Critical Pedagogy introduces themes such as social justice, inequality, power, and lived experience into curricular discourse. NEP 2020 advocates for reducing curriculum content to create more space for core concepts, critical thinking, inquiry, discovery, discussion, analysis, and problem-solving.

Philosophy and Teaching Methods

The relationship between philosophy and pedagogy is profound. Traditional orientation - Teacher → Knowledge → Student; Pragmatic orientation- Experience → Inquiry → Learning; Dialogical orientation- Teacher ↔ Student ↔ Dialogue ↔ Knowledge; Critical orientation- Experience → Questioning → Reflection → Critical Consciousness → Action. This shift demonstrates that viewing the learner as an active participant rather than a passive recipient is a key characteristic of modern educational philosophy.

Teacher-Student Relationship

The teacher-student relationship has evolved alongside changes in educational philosophy. In the traditional authoritarian model, the teacher is the primary source of knowledge. In modern educational philosophy, the teacher can act as a Facilitator, Guide, Mentor, and dialogical partner. Biesta, in his re-evaluation of teaching, emphasizes the role of the teacher and the development of the student's subjectivity.

Philosophy and Values Education

Education is never value-neutral. Every educational system prioritizes certain values. For instance, justice, Freedom, Equality, Honesty, Tolerance, Humanity, Responsibility, Social cooperation. Philosophy teaches students not merely to accept values but also to analyze their rational foundations. For example: What is justice? What are the limits of freedom? Which actions are ethical? Why is truth important? Such philosophical questioning can lay the foundation for ethical reasoning.

Pluralism and Education

Contemporary society is composed of a blend of diverse cultures, languages, and viewpoints. Alexander has highlighted the importance of moral agency and exposure to various philosophies of life within education. Alvarez has analyzed the significance of

democratic coexistence fostered through education in a pluralistic society. This perspective is particularly relevant in the diverse context of Indian society.

Education and Philosophy in the Indian Context

Knowledge, wisdom, truth, self-development, and ethics hold special significance in the tradition of Indian educational thought. The NEP 2020 references India's knowledge heritage and the concepts of Jnan (knowledge), Pragyaa (wisdom), and Satya (truth). The policy extends the goal of education beyond mere cognitive development, linking it to the cultivation of social, ethical, and emotional capacities. The policy also emphasizes making education holistic and multidisciplinary. This perspective creates a potential bridge between the tradition of Indian educational philosophy and contemporary educational science.

Philosophy and Democratic Citizenship

Democracy is not merely a political system; it is a social and ethical way of life. A democratic citizen needs to possess: independent thinking, Reasoned expression of opinion, Respect for differing views, Tolerance, Social responsibility, Participation, Awareness of the rights of others. Sant's theoretical review highlights various conceptualizations of democratic education. Visnovsky and Zolcer have demonstrated the importance of participation in Dewey's concept of democratic education. Therefore, democratic education is not just a matter for textbooks; it requires implementation within classroom practice as well.

Analysis Based on Research

The following key points emerge from the theoretical and literature-based analysis. 1. Education is not value-neutral - A value judgment of some kind underlies every objective of education. 2. Philosophy provides direction to education-Philosophy seeks answers to the "why" questions of education. 3. Curriculum is a philosophical choice- Determining which knowledge is important and what should be included in the curriculum is linked to values and philosophy. 4. Pedagogy embodies philosophical assumptions- differing conceptions of the learner and the nature of knowledge underlie the distinction between teacher-centric and learner-centric pedagogy. 5. Critical thinking and philosophy are interrelated- philosophical inquiry provides students with opportunities for questioning, reasoning, and reflection. 6. Classroom democracy is essential for democratic education - Merely teaching about democracy is insufficient; students must also experience democratic participation. 7. A philosophical foundation is necessary for holistic education- a coherent philosophical framework is required to integrate the cognitive, ethical, social, and emotional dimensions of education.

Educational Implications

The research holds significant implications for the field of education.

Teacher Education: In B.Ed. and M.Ed. curricula, the Philosophy of Education should be integrated with classroom practice rather than being treated merely as a subject for theoretical examinations.

Curriculum Development: Curricula should incorporate ethical, social, and democratic dimensions alongside knowledge acquisition.

Classroom Pedagogy: Opportunities for discussion, inquiry, problem-solving, project work, and experiential learning need to be expanded.

Teacher Role: Teachers should be prepared to act as facilitators, mentors, and reflective practitioners, rather than merely as providers of information.

Value Education: Value education should be integrated into the entire teaching-learning process rather than being confined to a separate subject.

Critical Thinking: Students must be provided with opportunities for open-ended questioning, argument analysis, and evidence-based reasoning.

Democratic Classroom: Students should be given opportunities to express their opinions, engage in discussions, participate in decision-making, and undertake collaborative work.

Recommendations

Based on the research, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Enhance the importance of the applied philosophy of education within the teacher education curriculum.
2. Conduct professional development programs focused on teachers' philosophical reflection.
3. Increase the use of dialogue and discussion in classroom teaching.
4. Promote the use of higher-order questioning.
5. Foster experiential and inquiry-based learning.
6. Create opportunities for ethical reasoning and value clarification.
7. Cultivate a democratic classroom culture.
8. Consider philosophical perspectives during curriculum development.
9. Integrate the goal of holistic education (as outlined in NEP 2020) into classroom practices.
10. Encourage interdisciplinary research on the relationship between philosophy, education, and emerging technologies.
11. Incorporate the practical aspects of critical pedagogy and democratic pedagogy into teacher education.
12. Conduct empirical studies on the utility of 'Philosophy for Children' and philosophical inquiry within the Indian context.

Conclusion

The relationship between education and philosophy is fundamental to the knowledge, values, and social development of human life. Philosophy provides the foundation for reflecting on the aims, values, knowledge, and humanistic goals of education, while education creates the arena for applying these philosophical concepts in real life.

Idealism emphasizes the moral and intellectual development of the learner; Realism highlights the importance of factual and evidence-based knowledge; Pragmatism stresses experience, inquiry, and problem-solving; Existentialism prioritizes individual freedom and personality; and Critical Pedagogy brings to the forefront the link between education and social transformation.

Dewey provided a robust theoretical basis for the relationship between education and democracy, identifying participation as a key feature of his concept of "educational democracy." Freire linked education with dialogue and critical consciousness. Biesta analyzed the purpose of education within an integrated framework of qualification, socialization, and subjectification.

Contemporary research on democratic education also demonstrates that the relationship between education and democracy constitutes a multidimensional theoretical field. Sant's review of 377 peer-reviewed articles particularly highlights the diversity within this field. In the Indian context, the emphasis placed by NEP 2020 on

holistic development, critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and social, ethical, and emotional capacities has imbued the interrelationship between education and philosophy with new significance. Therefore, if education is confined merely to examination results, the acquisition of information, or preparation for employment, a significant portion of its humanistic and social potential remains unrealized. True education should be capable of shaping a personality that can think, question, reason, make ethical decisions, respect the views of others, and act responsibly towards society.

In this sense, philosophy is not an extraneous or marginal element of education; rather, it serves as a fundamental framework for defining the purpose, direction, values, and humanistic foundation of education.

References

- Alexander, H. (2007). What is common about common schooling? Rational autonomy and moral agency in liberal democratic education. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 41(4), 609–624. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9752.2007.00592.x>
- Apple, M. W. (2011). Democratic education in neoliberal and neoconservative times. *International Studies in Sociology of Education*, 21(1), 21–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09620214.2011.543850>
- Biesta, G. (2015). What is education for? On good education, teacher judgement, and educational professionalism. *European Journal of Education*, 50(1), 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12109>
- Biesta, G. (2017). *The rediscovery of teaching*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315617497>
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. Macmillan.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Herder and Herder.
- Gutek, G. L. (2014). *Philosophical, ideological, and theoretical perspectives on education (2nd ed.)*. Pearson.
- Hirst, P. H., & Peters, R. S. (1970). *The logic of education*. Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Kneller, G. F. (1971). *Introduction to the philosophy of education*. Wiley.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). *National Curriculum Framework for Foundational Stage*. Government of India.
- Noddings, N. (2013). *Education and democracy in the 21st century*. Teachers College Press. <https://doi.org/10.65528/9780807772317>
- Peters, R. S. (1966). *Ethics and education*. George Allen & Unwin.
- Peters, R. S. (1970). Education and the educated man. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 4(1), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9752.1970.tb00424.x>
- Sant, E. (2019). Democratic education: A theoretical review (2006–2017). *Review of Educational Research*, 89(5), 655–696. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319862493>
- Van der Ploeg, P. (2016). Dewey versus ‘Dewey’ on democracy and education. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 11(2), 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1746197916648283>
- Visnovsky, E., & Zolcer, S. (2016). Dewey's participatory educational democracy. *Educational Theory*, 66(1–2), 55–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/edth.12152>
- White, J. (1982). *The aims of education restated*. Routledge.